VERIFICATION OF THE HECEIP! OF PROPERTY PAID FOR UNDER THE PEDSTRIP/MILSTRIP SYSTEMS

I. PURPLUS

The purpose of the verification progress is to verify the receipt of materials, supplies, and equipment pold for by the Agency under the PRESIMIP/MILETAIP system; to identify any areas of procedural difficulties; and to recommend improved systems and/or the establishment of new accounts which would facilitate subsequent verification.

II. BACKINONIO

- A. Since 15 December 1966, payments have been made by the Office of Finance for the procurement of supplies, materials, and equipment through FERSTRIP/MILSTRIP sources according to the "constructive evidence of receipt" concept and recorded in the general ledger account No. 606. Acquisitions by the Office of Logistics of such procurement are recorded in the general ledger account No. 607. In coordination with the Office of Logistics, the Office of Finance is responsible for internal site mulits of Logistics receiving reports to verify deliveries against FERSTRIP/MILSTRIP requisitions.
- B. The initial program on the verification of receipt of goods paid for under the FEDERIP/MILSTRIP systems was submitted to you in our memorandum dated in April 1967 (Tab "A" attached). The program provided a summary of the accounts, reports, and procedures currently available for the verification review. The review consisted of a preliminary meeting held on 1 June 1967 between members of the verification teem and those members of the Office of Logistics involved in the FERSTRIP/MILSTRIP activity and an on-site visit during the period 5 June 1967 through 12 June 1967 at the
- C. "Matched" items occur when amounts paid by Office of Figures for FEDETRIP/MILSTRIP procurement are in agreement with excents recorded for the acquisition of this property, and such transactions do not appear on the general ledger account No. 606/607 machine listing. "Unmatched" items occur when amounts recorded for payment of FEDETRIP/MILSTRIP procurement and the related acquisition costs are not in agreement; the difference is shown on the listing as an unresolved difference.

25X1

SPITICALOR OR LIERO IN BE ASSILLED

There were 6, 363 BEDGERLP/MILSTRIP transactions with a dollar recorded in general ledger account No. 606 value of as of 31 March 1967. Of these transactions, there were 1,972 in , and 4,391 of excess of \$100 with a dollar value of less than \$100 with a dollar value of The transactions in excess of \$100 represented thirty-one per cent of the total transactions and ninety-seven per cent of the total dollar value. The transactions of less than \$100 represented sixty-nine per cent of the total transactions and three per cent of the total dollar velue.

- B. From this broad base of date approximately fifteen per cent of the trensections over \$100 and approximately three per cent of the transections less than \$100 were selected for review. There were 252 "unmatched" transactions and 28 "matched" transactions with a dollar value of _____ in the over \$100 group. There were 28 "unsatched" transactions and 95 "matched" transactions with a foller dollar velue of value of \$4.714 in the less than \$100 group.
- C. Vorking papers were prepared on the salested items and provided the basis for the verification of the receipt of property paid for under the PARTRIP/MILETRIP systems. The verification program also identified causes of difference requiring remedial ection and/or policy changes. The examination of the source material represents the first review completed under this activity.

IV. PLYDLIKE

VERTICATION OF RECEIPT OF PROPERTY

- 1. Hinety per cent of all transections selected for the test were proven that the related property had been received and correctly recorded.
- 2. You per cent of all transactions selected for the test were proven as to receipt of property; however, receiving reports had been prepared but not recorded, were not prepared, or were unavailable during the review. We were informed that the majority of these exrecorded transactions was due to a minunderstanding concerning instructions received on transmittal manifests and receiving reports. Pollow-up action is being taken by the Office of Logistics on one hundred per cent of the transactions.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/05/14: CIA-RDP79-00107A000100040019-9

25X1

25X1

GROUP 1

V. DISCREPARCIES HATED IN REACHDING RECKIPTES OF PROPERTY

A. The exemination of the acquisition documents revealed procedures which result in "unsatched" transactions on the machine listing. A few discrepancies were noted involving coding errors; errors in extending unit price; and direct delivery discount for which adjustments have now been made or in process of being made. Other instances noted were found to be significent and to require resultal action and/or policy changes. In all instances varification was made that the property had been received. The mignificant instances and the number of exceptions noted under such category are presented below:

1. Pailure to Change Unit Price - 35 Instances

Fullure of the Stock Control Unit to change the receiving report to the unit price on wendows packing slips is the most algorithm factor contributing to acquisition discrepancies. One of the underlying claments of the "constructive receipt" concept as applicable to the FERRERIP/ALLSTRIP program, is to except the vendors price shown on the packing slips as the amount to be billed. The difference between the payment assumts and the acquisition emounts is nominal.

2. Freesportesion, Packing and Handling (TPH) - 11 Instances

Another significant factor in the development of "amentohed" entries on the machine listing is the inconsistant use of the TPH charges. Some instances were noted where the TPH charges were added on the receiving report even though such charges were not allows on the supplier's packing alip. In other instances a factor of 6.5% was used in one period and a different factor of 6.7% was used in another period. The result of this procedure was that the adjusted acquisition amount was not in agreement with the related amount paid for the procurement.

3. Quantities Milled and Neceived - 13 Instances

The discrepancies moted cover those instances of overbilling or underbilling resulting from quantities shows to have been received by a worehousessa not in agreement with the applicable quantities listed so billings. In such instances essented receiving reports or inventory adjustments are required.

4. Unit of Issue Conversion - h Instances

the Office of Legistics changed these unit costs to feet.
We were informed that stock management policies require
exquisition emounts in these instances to be recorded in
the latter unit of measure. While the difference between
the payment emounts and the sequisition emounts for each
transaction is nominal, they nevertheless contribute to the
total of 'unmatched' items. The unit price as shown on the
vendors shipping document should be recorded as billed with
an adjustment at the time the items are megained.

VI. INCREIT CATION PROBLET OF PROBLET PLANED ITSES

- A. The current machine listing is a bulky document seven inches thick including FineTRIP/MILSTRIP and commercial vendor transactions. PRESTRIP/MILSTRIP procurement cannot be identified readily from commercial procurement because both types of procurement may have the same numbered purchase order. Verification of receipt of property can be facilitated with the retablishment of new goneral ledger accounts for the FINETRIP/MILSTRIP actions. The present computer programs are general to receptive both commercial and other agency procurement. However, this control hinders rather than below the commination or and to process. In the age of management by exception, a unique program to receptive the qualities and problems through computer usage is parameters.
- D. Acquisition of FEDERIP/MILETERIP property is recorded in accordance with the fiscal year in which it is received in contrast to the fiscal year in which the related purchase order is prepared. This procedure results in a technical "unmetched" transaction and prolongs the time required to menually match the payment transaction with its applicable acquisition transaction. The problems resulting would be overcome by building a computer progress to recognize the fiscal year in the FEDERIP/MILETERIP masher by insertion of the masher by Office of Figures and Office of Logistics.

Approved For Release 2003/05/14 CIA-RDP79-00107A000160040019-9

25X1

Approved For Release 2003/05/14 : CIA-RDP79-00107A000100040019-9

VIII. CONCLUMINA

- A. Because minety per cent of the selected transactions were verified and the remaining ten per cent were resolved satisfactorily, it is the opinion of the verification toes that all property peld for has been received. The basis of selection of those transactions examined to sound and comprehensive and no exception can be developed to the validity of payments for FEDSTRIP/MILSTRIP procurement.
- 3. PERSTRIP/MILETRIP procurement should be segregated from commercial transmittions to facilitate review and adjustment.
- C. Acquisition documents should be posted with the esse fiscal year indicator as shown on the related purchase order.
- D. A uniform procedure is required for excerding transportation, packing and handling costs as related to receipts of property.
- E. A change in procedure in required to record receipt of property in the case unit of peasure as that listed on packing slips.
- y. Cost per unit as shown on receiving reports should coincide with the related cost per unit on packing ulips.
- G. Differences in quantities shown to have been shipped with related quantities listed as having been received should be edjusted by emended receiving reports or reports of investory adjustments.

VIII. RECENTIONS

- A. The concept of 'constructive evidence of receipt' should continue to be the basis for payment prior to verification. The progress to verify property receipts paid for under the PROCERIP/MILSTERIP aveter should be continued at regular intervals.
- B. Retablish new general ledger accounts to record only property procured under the PEDVINIP/HILSTRIP system.
- C. Publish separate machine listings for each of the following:
 - 1. All payments with corresponding acquisitions having the same FARSTRIP/KLIEFRIP number and the same Galler value.

CROUP 1

Tackeded from automatic

79-00407A000100040019-9

Approved For Release 2003/05/14 CIA-RDP79-00 107/A000100040019-9

Approved For Release 2003/05/14: ICIA-RDP79-00107A000100040019-9

- 2. All payments with corresponding orquisitions baving the mose Fillettap/: Clear P masher and different Collar Value.
- 3. All payments having so corresponding sequisitions.
- b. All sequisitions having no corresponding payments.
- D. Control machine listings on the last eight digits of the FEDSTED! MILETHIP author in sequential order and group by the first six CHRIMC AND
- E. Reflect total dollar value and line item count on machine limings.
- P. Palance dollar value of all eaching listings to the general ledger sucomts.

AUCINOTISM CENTELTYING DELINES Chief, Certification and Listen Kviston Recommendations as Stated in Para VIII are Hereby Approved; Director of Pinance Date Distribution: O. & 1 - Adse(D/F) 1 - PPS 1 - OL 1 - C&L 2 - FSSB (1 H-13 co) OF/C&L/FSSB/HSJ/JHC/ GROUP 1 Excluded from - tomolidowngrading and

25X1

25X1

25X1